WHY SUCCESSFUL PEOPLE LOSE THEIR ATHLETICISM (AND HOW TO GET IT BACK)

AN EVIDENCE-INFORMED GUIDE FOR FORMER ATHLETES WHO BUILT THEIR CAREER FIRST

Educational note: This guide is for general education and coaching context. It is not medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment.

AUTHOR'S NOTE:

The idea of balancing career and athletics matters a lot to me because I hated feeling pulled away from physical goals at work. I was working at a commercial real estate office doing marketing and design work for several teams, and had a general plan of how I would progress my career. But it was difficult for me to be at a computer for 8 hours a day, and I was always thinking about what feat of strength or skill I wanted to work on. So when thinking about advancing my career, it would hurt to find myself considering giving up my ambitious athletic goals to gain more career time, and even more free time. Thankfully, I never altered my athletic goals, and actually kept making great progress while maintaining my career. I realized that my ability to balance both is something I can share, so I decided to build my life around helping others with this problem. I want you to build your career, and not only regain your health and athleticism, but grow it too.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Many successful professionals were once athletes. They understand discipline, delayed gratification, repetition, and performance. But as career demands increase, movement often disappears from daily life, sleep shortens, stress rises, nutrition becomes reactive, and the athletic body gradually fades. This decline is often blamed on age, but for many former athletes, the larger driver is years of detraining and lifestyle compression. The good news is that the decline is not fixed. Former athletes retain important advantages: the body relearns familiar movement patterns faster, and the mind carries evidence of past capability. Restoring athleticism does not require abandoning career ambition. It requires structure. Through progressive training, better daily movement, improved recovery, and a realistic plan, the former athlete can rebuild strength, mobility, body composition, and performance without sacrificing the professional life they worked to create.

THE PARADOX:

The most successful people often have an athletic background. But then the career gains priority, and the athlete fades away. They understand focus, repetition, and perseverance, but they traded their health points for career points. To get your health and athleticism back, you don't need to sacrifice your career. In fact, the former athlete has a huge advantage.

DETRAINING - HOW PERMANENT IS IT?:

Detraining is the decline in fitness and performance when an individual undergoes an extended period of time without exercising. Studies regularly count two weeks off as detraining, so it happens faster than you think.

Often, individuals blame age for their decline in health and athleticism, but there is an important nuance that reframes the scenario. Age does have a real effect, but it is often smaller than we give it credit for. A large share of the aging experience is actually the cumulative result of years of detraining. The clearest way to see this is to look at people who never underwent extensive periods of detraining. Masters athletes, individuals who continue training through their later decades, show age-related declines that are substantially reduced or in some cases absent compared to their sedentary peers [1]. A systematic review and meta-analysis found that chronic exercise training in master athletes preserves physical function, muscular strength, and body composition in ways that can resemble much younger healthy individuals [2], and lifelong exercisers have been shown to maintain roughly 50% greater aerobic capacity than non-exercisers of the same age [3]. Age-related decline is still real, but consistent training appears to blunt many of its most notorious effects. For many former athletes, inactivity explains more of the decline they personally feel than they realize.

This comes with a very real caveat. Not everything is fully preventable or reversible, and evidence suggests that timing matters. Research on lifelong exercise found that two to three casual sessions per week may not be enough to prevent age-related cardiac stiffening. Four to five sessions per week seems to be necessary for preserving more youthful function [4]. And when previously sedentary older adults trained hard for a full year, although they significantly improved their aerobic capacity, they did not fully reverse stiffening that had already set in [5]. The lesson is not that decline is permanent. It is that the longer you wait, the more you are working to recover rather than simply maintain. Acting sooner protects more. A lesson you likely have learned already: be proactive.

Let's be clear about scope. Most of this evidence comes from masters athletes in their later decades, often in their sixties, seventies, and beyond. They are not a direct snapshot of a 30 or 40 year old former athlete. But what they prove is the principle, the long-range demonstration of what consistent training protects and what its absence costs. For someone in their late twenties, early thirties, forties, or early fifties, that principle is encouraging, because it means the decline they feel is largely a product of input, and input can change. Even a 50 year old just now starting will have 10 years of positive work by the time they're 60. And you already know how much progress you can make in anything over a decade.

HOW DOES IT HAPPEN? - MOVEMENT COLLAPSE • STRESS • NUTRITION • SLEEP:

It is rarely one dramatic change. It is multiple forces that arrive together with a career and compound in the background: the collapse of daily movement, chronic stress, reactive nutrition choices, and shrinking sleep.

MOVEMENT COLLAPSE:

Deeply investing in a career changes how much you move in a day, often dramatically. Office workers spend roughly 60% of their working and waking hours sedentary, with only about 4% of the day in moderate or vigorous activity [6]. Office and call center workers record some of the lowest daily step counts of any occupation [6]. The high-performing professional often puts in well over 40 hours a week, and the first things sacrificed are often the ones that feel optional: gym time, the evening walk, the weekend hike. Exercise becomes sporadic and unstructured, if it survives at all. Even posture quietly degrades, as the head drifts forward toward the monitor week after week. And the day does not end when work does. A long day often ends with collapsing onto the couch, adding still more sedentary hours.

It would be easy to assume the problem is simply the missed workout. It is bigger than that. Prolonged sitting is strongly and independently associated with worse health, even apart from exercise. In a study of nearly half a million people, those who mostly sat at work carried a 16% higher risk of death from any cause and a 34% higher risk of death from cardiovascular disease compared to those who mostly did not sit [7]. The mechanisms are physiological. Extended sitting reduces the activity of enzymes that process fat, impairs how the body handles blood sugar, and alters circulating hormones [8]. This is why movement collapse is the root of the problem. It is not one missed gym session. It is the structural loss of movement across the entire day, and even a person who trains can be undermined by the eight hours of stillness surrounding that training [7][9].

The former athlete sometimes notices this happening. But absent a decision to change, they tend to accept the loss of their athletic self as the simple cost of building a career and/or maturing.

STRESS:

An accomplished career is never without stress. There is money on the line, and often other people's livelihoods. High-stakes problems, especially the ones outside your control, keep stress elevated for long stretches. This is not just a mental weight. Chronic stress keeps the hormone cortisol elevated, and chronically elevated cortisol can work against an athletic body. Evidence indicates that higher cortisol is linked with reductions in muscle strength and lean mass [10], and that even in young, healthy people, higher cortisol tracks with more body fat and less muscle [11].

Stress is especially damaging because it interferes with recovery. When work demands stay high and the mind keeps turning over problems long after the workday ends, the body never fully unwinds. It stays in a low, sustained state of activation, and the repair that should happen during downtime does not fully happen [12]. This is why stress is the multiplier in this story. On its own, it chips away at muscle and shifts body composition, but worse than that, it reduces the body's ability to repair and adapt to everything else. The toll of a sedentary day and a short night lands harder, and lingers longer, on a body that cannot properly recover.

NUTRITION:

Eating well happens less often too, and it isn't always about willpower. Convenience food and comfort eating are often treated as personal failures rather than what they usually are, routine responses to an energy depleted life [13]. And the cause is the same one running through this whole section. It is not always simply that a busy person has no time to eat well. What shows up consistently in the busy individual is stress. Professionals under sustained job strain are measurably more likely to drift into unhealthy habits and less likely to adopt healthy ones [14], and rising work stress specifically tracks with eating more fat and, for some, drinking more [15].

From there, a pattern builds. The convenient option replaces the prepared one because deciding and cooking takes an energy that is already spent. Eating becomes one of the few easy rewards at the end of a demanding day, and the thought "I have earned this" is a reasonable one to have… but it repeats a little too often. For some, a regular drink becomes the way the day is closed out. No single choice here is wrong, which is exactly why it is so easy to miss. The habits form gradually, under real constraints, and then compound, like everything else working against the former athlete.

SLEEP:

Sleep often becomes a regrettable casualty of a demanding professional life. A stressed mind does not switch off easily, and hours that should be spent recovering are instead spent lying awake working through tomorrow's problems. This matters because sleep is the body's primary regenerative window. It is when tissue repairs and hormones rebalance. When sleep shrinks, that repair is compromised. Insufficient sleep disrupts the body's hormonal balance, raising cortisol and lowering anabolic hormones like testosterone and growth hormone [16], the same hormonal shift that chronic stress produces. In practice, sleep loss and stress are not fully separate problems. They push the same levers in the same harmful direction.

The important nuance is that the damage is about pattern, not the occasional bad night. A single rough night is survivable. The evidence shows that one night of lost sleep has little effect on strength, but consecutive nights of short sleep measurably reduce force output [17]. This is exactly the professional's situation. Not one all-nighter, but a repeated pattern of shortened nights. Sustained over time, sleep loss impairs strength, power, speed, and endurance [18], and it slows the recovery the body depends on to adapt and rebuild. The short night does not just make you tired. It interrupts part of the recovery your body depends on to rebuild itself.

The problems are interconnected. These are not separate problems. They feed each other. Less movement means less natural fatigue and worse sleep, stress drains recovery further and pulls eating toward whatever is easy, and a poorly fueled, poorly recovered body finds it even harder to move, completing a downward spiral.

WHY YOU HAVE AN ADVANTAGE - SAVINGS, SELF-EFFICACY:

Now for encouragement: retraining can improve health, physique, and overall wellbeing faster than many people expect, and the formerly athletic individual has a real advantage in two ways. One is physical. The other is psychological.

The physical advantage is a phenomenon researchers call savings. Simply put, relearning a skill is faster than learning it the first time. This is well documented, and it holds over surprisingly long gaps. A study of gross motor skills found that after about a year without practice, a high degree of skill was retained and relearning to previous levels was rapid [19]. Even over much longer gaps, research on simple motor-skill retention suggests that while initial performance may decline substantially, relearning can still occur faster than the original learning process [20]. The relearning advantage is consistent enough across studies that it has become a defined concept in motor learning research [21]. For someone who spent years genuinely practicing a sport, the movement patterns were deeply learned. They are not gone. Returning to them is recall, not construction.

The psychological advantage is just as real. Decades of research in psychology point to a concept called self-efficacy, which is your belief in your own ability to accomplish something. It is one of the most studied ideas in the field, and it strongly influences whether you start a difficult task, how much effort you put in, and how long you persist when it gets hard [22]. The key detail is where that belief comes from. Self-efficacy has several sources, but the most powerful by far is mastery experience, meaning past personal success at the thing itself. Encouragement and pep talks are sources too, but they are comparatively weak ones, easily undone by a history of setbacks. Genuine past accomplishment is the strong source [22][23][24].

This is the advantage of having been an athlete. A coach working with someone who has never been fit may have to rely more heavily on persuasion. A formerly athletic person has something stronger to draw from: evidence. They have a bank of real mastery experiences. They have already felt what it is to be strong and capable, and that lived memory is a far sturdier foundation for belief than any outside encouragement.

So the returning athlete has both halves working in their favor. The body remembers the movement, and the mind remembers the capability. The person starting from nothing has to build the body and manufacture the belief at the same time. You are not doing either from scratch. You are reactivating both.

None of this is revolutionary. These concepts are well known, and plenty of former athletes have attempted a comeback with mixed results. What separates the successful attempts from the failed ones are the same principles that built your career in the first place. Consistency, structure, and patience. The hard part is rarely the training itself. It is staying with the plan in the stretch where you have put in weeks of work and do not yet feel different. That is exactly where most people quit.

WHAT TO DO NOW? - IMPLICATIONS FOR TRAINING:

If the decline is reversible, the next question is what to actually do about it. The answer is not a pile of random workouts. It is a structured process of athletic restoration. It should be built for the formerly athletic professional: the person who needs results without sacrificing the career they built.

It takes less time than you think. The most common reason professionals give for not training is time. But the research on minimal effective dosing is promising. One large analysis followed nearly 15,000 people doing a single resistance training session per week and found strength gains of roughly 30 to 50% over the first year [25]. Other reviews confirm that even one focused weekly session produces real strength gains in beginners [26]. Three well-focused sessions a week, the target for most busy professionals, is not a bare minimum. It is a comfortably effective dose with room to spare. And there is a nuance that matters for a busy person. Research on training frequency found that when total quality work is equal, the number of days mattered little [27]. It is not about how many days you reach the gym. It is about the quality work you accumulate. Three focused sessions beat five distracted ones.

Your career does not take a back seat. Athletic restoration does not ask you to choose training over your work. It asks you to reanalyze your life and reprioritize your inputs, so your health is finally given the time it needs. The hours exist, but where to find them isn't always obvious.

The process has three phases. Restoring athleticism is a progression, and skipping ahead is how people get hurt. Each phase has one job, and each one earns the next.

Phase One, *reawaken*. The body relearns correct movement, rebuilds joint control, and restores confidence in positions that may have been neglected for years. This phase emphasizes controlled strength, mobility, balance, and stable movement before intensity rises. Most clients spend around a month here, though it varies. This phase is also where the body remembers. The patterns of a former athlete are not gone, they are dormant, and this is where they wake up.

Phase Two, *rebuild*. With correct, stable movement in place, intensity returns gradually. The goal of this phase is work capacity, the ability to get through harder training without form breaking down. Expect roughly another month, again with individual variation.

Phase Three, *develop*. Now training drives meaningful gains in strength. Here we reassess goals in depth and choose a direction like max strength, power, advanced body control skills, and/or advanced mobility. For those chasing high body control and the harder skills, training may rise to four or five days a week. From this point, those goals become realistic training targets rather than vague wishes.

The process compounds. What makes restoration worth starting is that the gains do not stay isolated. The downward spiral described earlier runs in reverse. Movement returns, and with it proper physical tiring, which deepens sleep. Better sleep means better recovery. A recovered body handles stress better and moves more willingly. Each improvement feeds the next. The hardest part is the beginning, before momentum builds. After that, the system works for you instead of against you.

Structure matters. Solo effort will take you far. But restoration works best when the process is structured. The two most common mistakes are doing too much too soon, which raises injury risk, and doing too little to create meaningful adaptation. A good plan protects against both. This is where coaching can be valuable. A coach does not replace effort. A coach organizes it, removes guesswork, and keeps the long view visible through the early stretch before the body fully feels like itself again.

FINAL THOUGHTS:

This guide focuses on someone who was an athlete once, who moved through the world strong and capable, and who let that fade as their career took priority. They came to believe that the athletic version of themselves was gone, and that losing it was the price of building everything else. That belief is wrong, and it always was. It was never a permanent trade. The decline may have been blamed on age, but for many people it is driven more by accumulated inactivity than they realize.

But a problem built gradually can be reversed gradually. The body responds to training at any age. The skills relearn faster the second time. The former athlete is not starting over. They are reactivating something that was dormant.

The former athlete does not only want a better-looking body. They want the return of capability and real performance. They want a structure to reach it without setting aside the career they continue to build. More than that, it is the return of an identity. You did not stop being an athlete. You stopped training like one. That is a far smaller problem than it feels, and it is solvable with the right structure. The athlete you were is still in there, so train them again.

References start on next page...

REFERENCES:

[1] Tanaka, H., et al. (2019). Aging and Physiological Lessons from Master Athletes. Comprehensive Physiology.

[2] McKendry, J., et al. (2018). Muscle morphology and performance in master athletes: A systematic review and meta-analyses. Ageing Research Reviews.

[3] Gries, K.J., et al. (2022). The Aging Athlete: Paradigm of Healthy Aging. International Journal of Sports Medicine.

[4] Bhella, P.S., et al. (2014). Impact of lifelong exercise "dose" on left ventricular compliance and distensibility. Journal of the American College of Cardiology.

[5] Fujimoto, N., et al. (2010). Cardiovascular Effects of 1 Year of Progressive and Vigorous Exercise Training in Previously Sedentary Individuals Older Than 65 Years of Age. Circulation.

[6] Prince, S.A., et al. (2019). Device-measured physical activity, sedentary behaviour and cardiometabolic health and fitness across occupational groups: a systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity.

[7] Gao, W., et al. (2024). Occupational Sitting Time, Leisure Physical Activity, and All-Cause and Cardiovascular Disease Mortality. JAMA Network Open.

[8] Park, J.H., et al. (2020). Sedentary Lifestyle: Overview of Updated Evidence of Potential Health Risks. Korean Journal of Family Medicine.

[9] Buckley, J.P., et al. (2015). The sedentary office: an expert statement on the growing case for change towards better health and productivity. British Journal of Sports Medicine.

[10] Katsuhara, S., et al. (2022). Impact of Cortisol on Reduction in Muscle Strength and Mass: A Mendelian Randomization Study. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism.

[11] Cvijetić, S., et al. (2022). Diurnal Salivary Cortisol in Relation to Body Composition and Heart Rate Variability in Young Adults. Frontiers in Endocrinology.

[12] Geurts, S.A.E., & Sonnentag, S. (2006). Recovery as an explanatory mechanism in the relation between acute stress reactions and chronic health impairment. Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health.

[13] Jackson, P., & Viehoff, V. (2016). Reframing convenience food. Appetite, 98, 1–11.

[14] Heikkilä, K., et al. (2013). Job strain and health-related lifestyle: findings from an individual-participant meta-analysis of 118,000 working adults. American Journal of Public Health.

[15] Hemiö, K., et al. (2020). The association of work stress and night work with nutrient intake – a prospective cohort study. Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health.

[16] Kaczmarek, F., et al. (2025). Sleep and Athletic Performance: A Multidimensional Review of Physiological and Molecular Mechanisms. Journal of Clinical Medicine.

[17] Knowles, O.E., et al. (2018). Inadequate sleep and muscle strength: Implications for resistance training. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport.

[18] Craven, J., et al. (2022). Effects of Acute Sleep Loss on Physical Performance: A Systematic and Meta-Analytical Review. Sports Medicine.

[19] Purdy, B.J., & Lockhart, A. (1962). Retention and Relearning of Gross Motor Skills After Long Periods of No Practice. Research Quarterly.

[20] Ammons, D. (1988). Long-term retention of a simple motor skill. Master's thesis.

[21] Hadjiosif, A., et al. (2023). A double dissociation between savings and long-term memory in motor learning. PLOS Biology.

[22] Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. Psychological Review.

[23] Kleppang, A.L., et al. (2023). Explaining variance in self-efficacy among adolescents: the association between mastery experiences, social support, and self-efficacy. BMC Public Health.

[24] Sheu, H.B., et al. (2018). Sources of self-efficacy and outcome expectations in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics domains: A meta-analysis. Journal of Vocational Behavior.

[25] Steele, J., et al. (2022). Long-Term Time-Course of Strength Adaptation to Minimal Dose Resistance Training Through Retrospective Longitudinal Growth Modeling. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport.

[26] Behm, D.G., et al. (2023). Minimalist Training: Is Lower Dosage or Intensity Resistance Training Effective to Improve Physical Fitness? A Narrative Review. Sports Medicine.

[27] Grgic, J., et al. (2018). Effect of Resistance Training Frequency on Gains in Muscular Strength: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Sports Medicine.

Thank you for reading! If you've read this far, you are really serious about restoring your athleticism and I'd love to have a conversation with you. To find out if training with me is the correct path, make sure to book a call!

BOOK A CALL